12-13-05

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1 AW

Application of:

MARTIN GROHMAN

Serial No.: 10/634,497

Filed: August 5, 2003

GROOVED DECKING BOARD

Docket No.: 34192

Confirmation No.: 8665

Group Art Unit No.: 3635

Customer No.: 23589

Examiner: Naoko N. Slack

# **TRANSMITTAL**

Transmitted herewith are: Transmittal (1 page), Statement regarding Disclosure of Related Pending Application(s) (1 page); a copy of an Office Action mailed September 20, 2005 (15 pages); and return postcard.

EV 760671002 US

Express Mail No.

Date: December 12, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Tracy L. Bornman, Reg. No. 42,347

HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP

2405 Grand Boulevard, Suite 400

Kansas City, MO 64108

(816) 474-9050

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT(S)

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

application of:

MARTIN GROHMAN

Serial No.: 10/634,497

Filed: August 5, 2003

GROOVED DECKING BOARD

Docket No.: 34192

Confirmation No.: 8665

Group Art Unit No.: 3635

Customer No.: 23589

Examiner: Naoko N. Slack

Assistant Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

# **STATEMENT**

In accordance with Applicants' duty of candor toward the Office, Applicants previously advised the Examiner of the existence of U.S. Patent Application 10/634,499, filed August 5, 2003, entitled HIDDEN DECK FASTENER SYSTEM. An office action was mailed in the '499 application on September 20, 2005, in which several claims were rejected as being anticipated by, or obvious in view of one or more references. A copy of that action is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Tracy L. Bornman, Reg. No. 42,347

HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP

2405 Grand Boulevard, Suite 400

Kansas City, MO 64108

(816) 474-9050

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT(S)



# STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,499	08/05/2003	Martin Grohman	33105	8662
759	90 09/20/2005	and the state of t	EXAM	NER
HOVEY WILI Suite 400	LIAMS LLP	RECEIVED	SLACK, N	AOKO N
= 2405 Grand Bou	llevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ransas City, M		SEP 2 6 2005	3635	
Walked The State of the State o			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	i
		HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP		
OE NEW		1.0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

おしこじげひ

SEP 2 6 2005

ENTERED BY Agree

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Interview December 1.2(a).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  * Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  * Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  * Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * Notice of Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * Signature 1.2(a) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.2(a) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.2(a) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.2(a) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.2(a) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * Other:	QE (		•	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(IS) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after 50x (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. In 1969, it in no event, however, may a may be timely fleet of the Statistic form by in specified dozen, the maximus etiatish period will apply well all specified (3) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Palliers to rapy within the set or extended productor in adultation to become ABANDONED (30 U.S.C. § 135).  BY ARRIVED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	O	Application No.	pplicant(s)	·
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after 5X (8) MONTHS from the mailing stee of this communication. 1-19(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely fleet of the communication of the communication of the property of the prop	12 12 2005 E	10/634,499	GROHMAN, MARTIN	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(IS) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after 50x (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. In 1969, it in no event, however, may a may be timely fleet of the Statistic form by in specified dozen, the maximus etiatish period will apply well all specified (3) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Palliers to rapy within the set or extended productor in adultation to become ABANDONED (30 U.S.C. § 135).  BY ARRIVED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(IS) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after 50x (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. In 1969, it in no event, however, may a may be timely fleet of the Statistic form by in specified dozen, the maximus etiatish period will apply well all specified (3) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Palliers to rapy within the set or extended productor in adultation to become ABANDONED (30 U.S.C. § 135).  BY ARRIVED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	THE THE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under be provided or 37 CPE1.13(a). In a overth covere, may a raply be linearly field  I NO period for reput is appealed and the communication.  I NO period for reput is appealed and the communication.  I NO period for reput is appealed and the communication of the communication of the period for reput is appealed and the communication.  I NO period for reput is appealed and the communication of the period for reput is appealed and the communication. Planto or the bear MANNODED (30 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if simely filled, may reduce any settered already within the art of communication of the comm		appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2004.   2a  This action is FINAL.   2b  This action is non-final.   3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the next of the period of the p	3 DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may b. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mil tatute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 28-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.55(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some* c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1)  Notice of References Clied (PTO-832)  2)  Notice of Torthsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5)  Notice of Torthsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5)  One Comment of Discourse Statement(b) (PTO-1419 or PTO/SB/08)  5)  One Comment of Discourse Statement(b) (PTO-1419 or PTO/SB/08)  6)  Odies Comment of Discourse Statement(b) (PTO-1419 or PTO/SB/08)  6)  Odies Comment of Discourse State	Status			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 28-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.55(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some* c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1)  Notice of References Clied (PTO-832)  2)  Notice of Torthsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5)  Notice of Torthsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5)  One Comment of Discourse Statement(b) (PTO-1419 or PTO/SB/08)  5)  One Comment of Discourse Statement(b) (PTO-1419 or PTO/SB/08)  6)  Odies Comment of Discourse Statement(b) (PTO-1419 or PTO/SB/08)  6)  Odies Comment of Discourse State	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 November 2004.		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is	
4) Claim(s) 28-60 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are ejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Office and Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Office and Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) International Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	4)⊠ Claim(s) 28-60 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Information Disdosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Object of Information Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ol None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Parfisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:				
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  20 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  22 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  23 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  24 Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Altachment(s)	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  A Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:  1. Other:	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  A Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/04.7/04.205.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/04.7/04.205.  6) Other:	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/104.7/04.2/05.  2) ☐ Other:			- , , , , , ,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)			received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/04.7/04.2/05.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	oco ino attached detailed Office action for a f	ist of the certified copies no	received.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/04.7/04.2/05.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/04.7/04.2/05.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   Other:		A [ ]	O	
A) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/04.7/04.2/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mall Date	
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(	08) 5) 🛄 Notice of	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) [_] Other:	'	<u>;</u>

# **DETAILED ACTION**

In view of applicant's Preliminary amendment received November 12, 2004, claims 1-27 have been canceled as requested, claim 28 amended, and new claims 31-60 entered. The IDSs dated 1/30/04, 7/19/04, and 2/2/05 have been entered and considered.

# Specification

On page 1, paragraph 1 of the specification purports to claim priority benefit from another US Application; however, both applications have the same filing date.

Therefore, there is no priority benefit. Correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 28-33, 36-38, and 40-60 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 6,314,699B1 to West.

Claim 28:

West discloses a deck system comprising: a plurality of laterally spaced joists (14, Figure 1); a plurality of boards (16, Figure 1) extending across and supported by the joists, each of said boards presenting an upper lip (74, Figure 6) and a lower lip (84, Figure 6), said upper and lower lips defining a pair of longitudinally extending grooves on generally opposite sides of the board, and said lower lip having a thickness "E"; and a plurality of fasteners (12, Figure 1A) rigidly coupled to the joists, each of said fasteners presenting a pair of protrusions having a height "F" each of said protrusions being received in a respective groove of a respective board in a substantially complemental fashion, wherein "E" is at least 1% greater than "F." Figure 1A clearly shows that "E", the lower lip thickness, is at least 1% greater than "F", the protrusion height.

Claims 29 and 30:

As best shown in Figure 1A, "E" is at least 5% greater than "F".

Claim 31:

West's protrusions exert a downward holding force on the lower lips when the protrusions are at least partially received within the grooves, by way of a nub (48) which is lower that the lower lip height.

Claim 32:

The downward holding force is due to the thickness of the lower lips being at least 1% greater than the height of the protrusions, as stated above.

Claim 33:

The downward holding force inhibits upward movement of the boards relative to the fasteners and joists (column 1, lines 56-60).

Claim 36:

West's fasteners securely couple the boards to the joists when the protrusions are at least partially received within the grooves (column 1, lines 56-60).

Claim 37:

West's deck system comprises a plurality of laterally spaced joists (14, Figure 1); a first board (16, Figure 1) extending across and supported by the joists, the first board presenting a pair of similarly configured opposite sides (Figure 6), each of the sides including a pair of spaced-apart longitudinally extending lips presenting opposing inwardly facing surfaces; and a fastener (12, Figure 1A) rigidly coupled to at least one of the joists, the fastener presenting at least one protrusion (48), the protrusion being operable to contact both of the inwardly facing surfaces on one of the sides of the first board to continually exert a first holding force on one of the lips to force the first board towards the joist.

Claim 38:

The first holding force more securely couples the first board to the joist than if no first holding force is applied.

Claim 40:

The first holding force inhibits upward movement of the first board relative to the fastener and joists (column 1, lines 56-60).

Claims 41-43:

Application/Control Number: 10/634,499

Art Unit: 3635

As best shown in Figure 1A, the thickness of the lip upon which the first holding force is exerted is at least 5% greater than the height of the protrusion relative to the joist when the protrusion is not flexed.

# Claim 44:

The first holding force securely couples the first board to the joists (column 1, lines 56-60).

# Claim 45:

West's deck system includes a second board (16, Figure 1), the second board being substantially similar to the first board, wherein the fastener exerts a second holding force on the second board such that the first holding force and the second holding force inhibit movement of the first board and second board relative to the joists thereby forming a more rigid deck system than if the holding forces were not present. Claim 46:

West discloses a method of coupling a plurality of boards to a plurality of support members, the method comprising the steps of: (a) rigidly attaching a first fastener to a first support member (column 2, lines 58-65), the first fastener having at least one protrusion (48); (b) positioning a first board across the first support member and against the rigidly-attached first fastener such that the protrusion of the first fastener is at least partially received in a first longitudinal groove of the first board to form a mating relationship between the first board and the first fastener, wherein the positioning of the first board and the first fastener in the mating relationship causes the protrusion of the first fastener to flex and exert a first holding force on the first board (column 2, line 66-

column 3, line14 and column 4, lines 50-55); (c) positioning a second fastener against the first board such that a protrusion of the second fastener is at least partially received in a second longitudinal groove of the first board to form a mating relationship between the first board and the second fastener; and (d) rigidly attaching the second fastener to the first support member while maintaining the mating relationship between the first board and the first and second fasteners.

#### Claim 47:

The first holding force inhibits movement of the first board relative to the first fastener and the first support member.

#### Claim 48:

The first holding force holds the first board against the first support member (column 1, lines 56-60).

#### Claim 49:

Rigidly attaching the second fastener to the first support member causes the protrusion of the second fastener to flex and exert a second holding force on the first board, as the secure contact between the fastener and board causes flexure in response to the force acting there between (column 1, lines 56-60 and column 4, lines 50-59).

#### Claim 50:

Clearly when fasteners are attached to opposite sides of a deck board, the first and second holding forces are exerted on generally opposite sides of the first board.

Claim 51:

Application/Control Number: 10/634,499

Art Unit: 3635

The first and second holding forces hold the first board against the first support member (column 1, lines 56-60).

Claim 52:

The first and second holding forces securely couple the first board to the first support member (column 1, lines 56-60).

Claim 53:

The longitudinal grooves are generally defined by an upper lip (74, Figure 6) and a lower lip (84, Figure 6) and the first holding force is exerted against the lower lip (column 4, lines 50-55).

Claims 54-56:

As best shown in Figure 1A, the thickness of the lower lip is at least 5% greater than the height of the protrusions relative to the support members when the protrusions are not flexed.

Claims 57 and 58:

A second board is positioned across the first support member and against the second fastener to thereby form a mating relationship between the second board and the second fastener, the second fastener is disposed generally between the first and second boards (column 2, lines 44-47).

Claim 58:

A second fastener causes a gap (24) to be maintained between the first and second boards.

Claim 60:

Application/Control Number: 10/634,499

Art Unit: 3635

The holding force inhibits movement of the boards relative to one another, movement of the support members relative to one another, and movement of the boards relative to the support members, thereby forming a more rigid deck system than if the holding force were not present (column 1, lines 56-60).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34, 35, and 39 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,314,699B1 to West.

Claims 34, 35, and 39:

While West does not specify that the fasteners comprise resilient material such as PVC, resilient fasteners are well known in the decking industry. For example, Erwin et al. discloses a decking system comprising grooved deck boards attached to an underlying support using deck fasteners made of PVC (column 3, lines 51-52). In view of Erwin et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form West's fasteners of resilient material such as PVC to facilitate insertion of the connector's protrusions into the grooves, as Erwin et al. states that the T-connectors are shaped and sized to conform to and fit within the grooves (column 3, lines 46-47).

#### **Prior Art**

US Patent 5,660,016A to Erwin et al. discloses decking boards with similar sides, each side comprising a groove formed by a top lip which extends further than the underlying lower lip. US Patent 6,711,864B2 to Erwin discloses deck boards with grooved sides that engage PVC fasteners. Other references disclosing grooved panels connected by fasteners includes:

US Patent 4,599,841 to Haid,

US Patent 5,941,040 to McAnallen et al.,

US Patent 5,355,648A to Graver et al.,

US Patent 5,123,225A to Goodworth, and

US Patent 4,169,340 to Watson.

# Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naoko Slack whose telephone number is (571) 272-6848. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (6:00 am-2:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Naoko Slack Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

NS September 16, 2005 JAN 3 0 2004 2

í

OFC 1 3 JOHN TO

Sheet \_1\_ of \_1\_

_	
	D14 D#X 4440
FO	RM PAO-1449 (MA <sup>24</sup> 32)
/o-	51331
Prize	(V, 124-24)
MAD	Elm.

# DESTRUCTION OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY, DOCKET NO. 33105

APPLICANT: GROHMAN

SERIAL NO. 10/634,499

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

TELOVIER DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

FILING DATE: GROUP: August 5, 2003

# **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	C.O. I AILINI DOGGINLINI S													
EXAM.		DOCUMENT NUMBER							NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE		
W	US 2002/0059766 A1							06/23/2002	Gregori					
	5 6 4 7 1 8 4				8	4	07/15/1997	Davis						
	5 9 9 7 2 0 9				0	9	12/07/1999	Sachs						
	6	1	9	9	3	4	0	03/13/2001	Davis					
V	6 4 0 2		2	4	1	5	06/11/2002	Eberle, III						
W	6	4	2	3	2	5	7	07/23/2002	Stobart et al.					
			<u> </u>		L									
		_												

# FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

		DOCUM	ENT N	IUMBE	R	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION		
	_		ļ							YES	NO	
							ì					
											1	
											1	

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Val St. \_ 9/14/05

	FORM PTO-1449	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTY. DOCKET NO. 33105	SERIAL NO. 10/634,499
(	STA	ORMATION DISCLOSURE ATEMENT BY APPLICANT	APPLICANT: GROHM	AN
V	JIL SOUSE	several sheets if necessary)	FILING DATE: August 5, 2003	GROUP: 3637

# U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAM. INITIAL		DO	CUM	ENT	NUM	BER		DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
ax	U	US 2003/0123924						03 Jul 2003	Eberle, III			
U8/	U	US D488373						13 Apr 2004	Eberle			
							<u> </u>					
		T	Π									
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

# **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

 DOCUMENT NUMBER							DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANS	ATION
				<u> </u>							YES	NO
								[			<del></del>	
												†
										<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>

 OTH	ER DO	CUMENTS	(Includ	ding Au	thor, Ti	tle, Date	Pertine	nt Pages	s, Etc.)	
									Antonia - Marie - Antonia in	
				-						

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1128 1/14/05

	<u> </u>			
	FORM PTO-1449 (Rev. 2-32)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTY. DOCKET NO. 33105	SERIAL NO. 10/634,499
1		RMATION DISCLOSURE EMENT BY APPLICANT	APPLICANT: GROHMAN	
BATTAN	יאו או	everal sheets if necessary)	FILING DATE: August 5, 2003	GROUP: 3617
<b>W</b>	MADENTA	U.S. PATENT DO	CUMENTS	

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** 

EXAM.	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
48	6,035,588	03/14/00	Zehner, et al			
28	5,836,128	11/17/98	Groh, et al			
48	5,411,782	05/02/95	Jarvis, et al			
0_	·					
	·					

# **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	DOCUMENT NUMBER					R	 DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSL	ATION
											YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformagee and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination | GROHMAN, MARTIN | Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	4	US-6,314,699	11-2001	West, Ronald R.	52/489.1
	В	US-5,660,016	08-1997	Erwin et al.	52/483.1
	O	US-6,711,864	03-2004	Erwin, Ronald D.	52/582.1
	D	US-4,599,841	07-1986	Haid, Peter	52/396.04
	E	US-5,941,040	08-1999	McAnallen et al.	52/586.1
	F	US-5,355,648	10-1994	Graver et al.	52/506.08
	G	US-5,123,225	06-1992	Goodworth, John P.	52/506.08
	Н	US-4,169,340	10-1979	Watson, Timothy J.	52/774
	1	US-			
4	j	US-			
	К	US-			
•	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	8	_				
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

# **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w ,	·
	×	

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.